**Public Document Pack** 

# CABINET

## **Scrutiny Call in Recommendations**

Tuesday, 19th February, 2013 at 5.00 pm

### **ADDITIONAL PAPERS**

#### Contacts

Cabinet Administrator Judy Cordell Tel: 023 8083 2766 Email: judy.cordell@southampton.gov.uk

#### 22 <u>MATTERS REFERRED BY THE COUNCIL OR BY THE OVERVIEW AND</u> <u>SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE FOR RECONSIDERATION (IF ANY)</u>

• Scrutiny Recommendations

Monday, 11 February 2013 HEAD OF LEGAL , HR AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES

The Committee considered the report of the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee, detailing the call in of the Executive Decision - Cab 12/13 9136 - Revisions to the Adult Social Care Non-Residential Services Policy. (Copy of the report circulated with the agenda and appended to the signed minutes).

#### RESOLVED

- (i) that the decision be referred back to Cabinet for further consideration; and
- (ii) the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee recommended that Cabinet:-
  - defers the decision to enable further consideration and thorough consultation with all parties affected. Should this impact on the Council's Budget, funding should be drawn from reserves;
  - ensures, if the proposals are implemented, that by 31<sup>st</sup> December 2013 every family carer and service user affected by the proposals will receive a one to one assessment, and provides assurance that one to one advice will not be just through telephone advice;
  - evaluates the effectiveness of the People's Panel in this process from the Council's, facilitators' and participants' perspective;
  - explores ways to improve information provision for service users and carers on issues such as assessment of need and financial assessment;
  - have worked examples of the impact of the proposals on individuals in advance of the Cabinet meeting to ensure the decision is informed;
  - ensures future communications are sent to both service users and carers;
  - monitors the impact of the proposals, if implemented, on admissions to Accident and Emergency within the City;
  - ensures that the advocacy groups are involved and fully engaged throughout the process;
  - Indentifies how, if changes proceed, the service will improve and how the future model will ensure rising standards and evidence improvements; and
  - monitor and review the impact of the charging proposals, if implemented, and report them to the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee within the first year of implementation.

This page is intentionally left blank